arXiv:1405.7005v1 [math.CO] 27 May 2014

FAMILIES OF METRIZED GRAPHS WITH SMALL TAU CONSTANTS

ZUBEYIR CINKIR

Abstract. Baker and Rumely's tau lower bound conjecture claims that if the tau constant of a metrized graph is divided by its total length, this ratio must be bounded below by a positive constant for all metrized graphs. We construct several families of metrized graphs having small tau constants. In addition to numerical computations, we prove that the tau constants of the metrized graphs in one of these families, the hexagonal nets around a torus, asymptotically approach to $\frac{1}{108}$ which is our conjectural lower bound.

1. Introduction

The tau constant $\tau(\Gamma)$ of a metrized graph Γ is an invariant which plays important roles in both harmonic analysis on metrized graphs [4] and arithmetic of curves [10]. Its properties are studied in the articles [7] and [9]. Moreover, its algorithmic computation is given in [8]. The tau constant is closely related to the other metrized graph invariants studied in [25] and graph invariants such as edge connectivity and Kirchhoff index.

In [4, Conjecture 14.5], Baker and Rumely posed a conjecture concerning the existence of a universal lower bound for $\tau(\Gamma)$. This conjecture can be stated as follows:

Conjecture 1.1. *If $\ell(\Gamma) = \int_\Gamma dx$ denotes the total length of Γ, then we have*

$$inf_\Gamma \frac{\tau(\Gamma)}{\ell(\Gamma)} > 0,$$

taking the infimum over all metrized graphs Γ with $\ell(\Gamma) \neq 0$.

We call this Baker and Rumely's tau lower bound conjecture. We think that this conjecture can be refined as follows [9]:

Conjecture 1.2. *For all metrized graphs Γ, $\tau(\Gamma) > \frac{1}{108} \cdot \ell(\Gamma)$.*

To disprove Conjecture 1.2 by finding a counterexample or to show if the conjectured lower bound is optimal, one needs to find metrized graphs with small tau constants. However, this is not an easy thing to do. This makes the lower bond conjecture a tantalizing problem.

In this article, we first review some background material in §2. In §3, we gather various related results about tau constant (from [4], [3], [6], [7], [8], [9], [11]) to obtain necessary conditions to have small tau constants. Using the conditions we obtained in this article and interpreting our previous results, we develop a strategy to search small tau constants. In the rest of the article, we apply this strategy to construct several families of metrized graphs with small tau constants. To be more precise, we have the following contributions in this article:

In §4, we prove that the tau constant of hexagonal torus $\mathrm{H}(n,m)$ approaches to $\frac{\ell(\mathrm{H}(n,m))}{108}$ whenever $n = m$ and $n \longrightarrow \infty$. This shows that the conjectured lower bound in Conjecture 1.2 is the best one can have if the conjecture is true. As a byproduct, we obtain the

Key words and phrases. Metrized graph, tau constant, hexagonal net around a torus, tau lower bound conjecture.

following lower and an upper bound to the Kirchhoff index of $\mathrm{H}(n,n)$ (see Theorem 4.5 below):

$$\frac{2n(n+1)^2(2n+3)}{3}+\frac{(n+1)^2}{3}\leq Kf(\mathrm{H}(n,n))\leq \frac{n(n+1)^2(n+2)(n+3)}{3}+\frac{(n+1)^2}{3}.$$

In §5, we construct two other families of metrized graphs, and we compute their tau constants numerically by implementing the algorithm given in [8] in Matlab and Mathematica. These computations, which are improved versions of the ones given in [6, Chapter 6], show that metrized graphs $\mathrm{H}(n,n)$ are not the only ones having small tau constants. Moreover, the computations suggest that the tau constant of metrized graph Γ in those two families approaches to $\frac{\ell(\Gamma)}{108}$ more rapidly than the graphs $\mathrm{H}(n,n)$ as their number of vertices tends to infinity.

2. The tau constant of a metrized graph

In this section, we set the notation and recall definitions that we use in later sections. To make this article as much self contained as possible, we revise the basic facts that we use frequently. However, we want to make the size of this section minimal. One who seeks further information on any of the materials included in this section may consult to the provided references.

A metrized graph Γ is a finite connected graph whose edges are equipped with a distinguished parametrization [19].

A metrized graph can have multiple edges and self-loops. For any given $p\in\Gamma$, the number of directions emanating from p will be called the *valence* of p, and will be denoted by $\upsilon(p)$. By definition, there can be only finitely many $p\in\Gamma$ with $\upsilon(p)\neq 2$.

A vertex set for a metrized graph Γ is a finite set of points $V(\Gamma)$ in Γ which contains all the points with $\upsilon(p)\neq 2$. It is possible to enlarge a given vertex set by adjoining additional points of valence 2 as vertices.

Given a metrized graph Γ with vertex set $V(\Gamma)$, the set of edges of Γ is the set of closed line segments with end points in $V(\Gamma)$. We will denote the set of edges of Γ by $E(\Gamma)$. However, we will denote the graph obtained from Γ by deletion of the interior points of an edge $e_i\in E(\Gamma)$ by $\Gamma-e_i$.

We denote $\#(V(\Gamma))$ and $\#(E(\Gamma))$ by v and e, respectively. We define the genus g of a metrized graph as the first Betti number, i.e., $g=e-v+1$. We denote the length of an edge $e_i\in E(\Gamma)$ by L_i. The total length of Γ, which will be denoted by $\ell(\Gamma)$, is given by $\ell(\Gamma)=\sum_{i=1}^{e}L_i$.

If we change each edge length in Γ by multiplying with $\frac{1}{\ell(\Gamma)}$, we obtain a new graph which is called normalization of Γ and denoted by Γ^N. Thus, $\ell(\Gamma^N)=1$. If $\ell(\Gamma)=1$, we call Γ a normalized metrized graph.

The vertex connectivity of Γ is the minimum number of vertices that should be deleted to make the metrized graph Γ disconnected. Similarly, the edge connectivity $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ of Γ is the minimum number of edges that one should delete to make Γ disconnected.

For any x, y, z in Γ, the voltage function $j_z(x,y)$ on Γ is a symmetric function in x and y, which satisfies $j_x(x,y)=0$ and $j_x(y,y)=r(x,y)$, where $r(x,y)$ is the resistance function on Γ. For each vertex set $V(\Gamma)$, $j_z(x,y)$ is continuous on Γ as a function of all three variables. We have $j_z(x,y)\geq 0$ for all x, y, z in Γ. For proofs of these facts, see [12], [4, sec 1.5 and sec 6], [24, Appendix] and [3].

We consider Equation (1) ([4, Lemma 14.4]) below as the definition of the tau constant $\tau(\Gamma)$ of a metrized graph Γ. Although, its original definition can be found in [4, Section

14]. For any fixed $p \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\tau(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{4}\int_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{d}{dx}r(x,p)\right)^2 dx. \tag{1}$$

One can find more detailed information on $\tau(\Gamma)$ in articles [6], [7], [8] and [9].

Let $\Gamma - e_i$ be a connected graph for an edge $e_i \in E(\Gamma)$ of length L_i. Suppose p_i and q_i are the end points of e_i, and $p \in \Gamma - e_i$. Let $\hat{j}_x(y,z)$ be the voltage function in $\Gamma - e_i$. Throughout this paper, we will use the following notation: $R_{a_i,p} := \hat{j}_{p_i}(p,q_i)$, $R_{b_i,p} := \hat{j}_{q_i}(p_i,p)$, $R_{c_i,p} := \hat{j}_p(p_i,q_i)$, and R_i is the resistance between p_i and q_i in $\Gamma - e_i$. Note that $R_{a_i,p} + R_{b_i,p} = R_i$ for each $p \in \Gamma$. When $\Gamma - e_i$ is not connected, if p belongs to the component of $\Gamma - e_i$ containing p_i we set $R_{b_i,p} = R_i = \infty$ and $R_{a_i,p} = 0$, while if p belongs to the component of $\Gamma - e_i$ containing q_i we set $R_{a_i,p} = R_i = \infty$ and $R_{b_i,p} = 0$.

Using parallel circuit reduction, we obtain

$$r(p_i,q_i) = \frac{L_i R_i}{L_i + R_i}. \tag{2}$$

By computing the integral in Equation (1), one obtains the following formula for the tau constant:

Proposition 2.1. *Let Γ be a metrized graph, and let L_i be the length of the edge e_i, for $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,e\}$. Using the notation above, if we fix a vertex p we have*

$$\tau(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{12}\sum_{e_i \in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_i^3}{(L_i+R_i)^2} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{e_i \in \Gamma} \frac{L_i(R_{a_i,p} - R_{b_i,p})^2}{(L_i+R_i)^2}.$$

Here, if $\Gamma - e_i$ is not connected, i.e. R_i is infinite, the summand corresponding to e_i should be replaced by $3L_i$, its limit as $R_i \longrightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 2.1 was obtained in 2003 by a REU group lead by Baker and Rumely. Its proof can be found in [7, Proposition 2.9].

Chinburg and Rumely [12, page 26] showed that

$$\sum_{e_i \in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_i}{L_i+R_i} = g. \quad \text{equivalently} \sum_{e_i \in E(\Gamma)} \frac{R_i}{L_i+R_i} = v-1. \tag{3}$$

To have a well-defined discrete Laplacian matrix L for a metrized graph Γ, we first choose a vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ for Γ in such a way that there are no self loops, and no multiple edges connecting any two vertices. This can be done by enlarging the vertex set by considering additional valence two points as vertices whenever needed. We call such a vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ adequate. Note that if Γ has no any self loops or multiple edges, then any vertex set of Γ is adequate.

If distinct vertices p and q are the end points of an edge, we call them adjacent vertices.

Let Γ be a metrized graph with e edges and an adequate vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ containing v vertices. Fix an ordering of the vertices in $V(\Gamma)$. Let $\{L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_e\}$ be a labeling of the edge lengths. The matrix $\mathrm{A} = (a_{pq})_{v\times v}$ given by

$$a_{pq} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p = q, \text{ or } p \text{ and } q \text{ are not adjacent.} \\ \frac{1}{L_k}, & \text{if } p \neq q, \text{ and an edge of length } L_k \text{ connects } p \text{ and } q. \end{cases}$$

is called the adjacency matrix of Γ. Let $\mathrm{D} = \mathrm{diag}(d_{pp})$ be the $v \times v$ diagonal matrix given by $d_{pp} = \sum_{s\in V(\Gamma)} a_{ps}$. Then $\mathrm{L} := \mathrm{D} - \mathrm{A}$ is called the discrete Laplacian matrix of Γ. That

is, $\mathrm{L} = (l_{pq})_{v\times v}$ where

$$l_{pq} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p \neq q, \text{ and } p \text{ and } q \text{ are not adjacent.} \\ -\frac{1}{L_k}, & \text{if } p \neq q, \text{ and } p \text{ and } q \text{ are connected by an edge of length } L_k \text{ .} \\ -\sum_{s\in V(\Gamma)-\{p\}} l_{ps}, & \text{if } p = q \end{cases}$$

The pseudo inverse L^+ of L, also known as the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse, is uniquely determined by the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} &i) \quad \mathrm{L}\mathrm{L}^+\mathrm{L} = \mathrm{L}, && iii) \quad (\mathrm{L}\mathrm{L}^+)^T = \mathrm{L}\mathrm{L}^+, \\ &ii) \quad \mathrm{L}^+\mathrm{L}\mathrm{L}^+ = \mathrm{L}^+, && iv) \quad (\mathrm{L}^+\mathrm{L})^T = \mathrm{L}^+\mathrm{L}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the trace of L^+ by $\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+)$.

Remark 2.2. *Since* $\mathrm{L}^+ = (l^+_{pq})_{v\times v}$ *is doubly centered,* $\sum_{p\in V(\Gamma)} l^+_{pq} = 0$*, for each* $q \in V(\Gamma)$*. Also,* $l^+_{pq} = l^+_{qp}$*, for each* p*,* $q \in V(\Gamma)$*.*

The following result is known for any graph (see [1], [2], [15, Theorem A]). It also holds for a metrized graph after a choice of an adequate vertex set.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose* Γ *is a metrized graph with the discrete Laplacian* L *and the resistance function* $r(x, y)$*. Let* L^+ *be a the pseudo inverse* L^+ *of* L *we have*

$$r(p, q) = l^+_{pp} - 2l^+_{pq} + l^+_{qq}, \quad \text{for any } p,\ q \in V(\Gamma).$$

One can also use L^+ to compute the values of voltage functions:

Lemma 2.4. [11, Lemma 3.5] *Let* Γ *be a metrized graph with the discrete Laplacian* L *and the voltage function* $j_x(y, z)$*. Let* L^+ *be a the pseudo inverse* L^+ *of* L*. Then for any* p*,* q*,* s *in* $V(\Gamma)$*,*

$$j_p(q, s) = l^+_{pp} - l^+_{pq} - l^+_{ps} + l^+_{qs}.$$

One can find more information about L and L^+ in [8, Section 3] and the references therein.

One can use Remark 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and the definition of the trace to show the following equalities:

$$\sum_{q\in V(\Gamma)} r(p, q) = v \cdot l^+_{pp} + \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+) \ \text{ for any } p \in V(\Gamma), \text{ and } \sum_{p,\,q\in V(\Gamma)} r(p, q) = 2v \cdot \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+). \tag{4}$$

Similarly, one can show by using Remark 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 that

$$\sum_{s\in V(\Gamma)} j_s(p, q) = \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+) + vl^+_{pq} \quad \text{for any } p,\ q \in V(\Gamma). \tag{5}$$

3. Highly Symmetric Cases

In this section, we build an intuition about the size and behavior of the tau constant. Because, we want to understand the properties of metrized graphs that potentially have small tau constants. For this purpose, we recall all the relevant known results on tau constant. By interpreting these results, we can have educated guesses to find metrized graphs with small tau constants.

It is known that $\tau(\Gamma) = \frac{\ell(\Gamma)}{4}$ whenever the metrized graph Γ is a tree graph (see [4, Equation 14.3]). Moreover, $\tau(\Gamma) \leq \frac{1}{4}$ if Γ is normalized metrized graph. In fact, if an edge e_i is a bridge (an edge whose deletion disconnects the graph) of length L_i, it makes

its highest contribution to $\tau(\Gamma)$ by $\frac{L_i}{4}$. Therefore, one should consider metrized graphs without bridges to have small tau constants. In particular, this implies that we should consider metrized graphs without points of valence 1.

If the metrized graph Γ is a circle graph, we have $\tau(\Gamma) = \frac{\ell(\Gamma)}{12}$ (see [4, Example 16.5]). Moreover, $\tau(\Gamma) \leq \frac{\ell(\Gamma)}{12}$ if Γ is a bridgeless metrized graph (see [7, Corrollary 5.8]), i.e. if the edge connectivity of Γ is at least 2.

We know that $\tau(\Gamma) \geq \frac{1}{4}r(p,q)$ for any two points p and q in Γ (see [7, Theorem 2.27]). Thus, we want to have small maximal resistance distance in a graph to have small tau constant.

Whenever we have a vertex $p \in V(\Gamma)$ with $\upsilon(p) \geq 3$, considering points $q \in \Gamma$ with $\upsilon(q) = 2$ as a vertex or not does not change Γ, which is called the valence property of tau constant (see [7, Remark 2.10]). Therefore, in our search of small tau constants, we work with metrized graphs that we can keep $V(\Gamma)$ minimal by considering only the points with valence at least 3 as vertices.

Suppose β is the metrized graph obtained from a metrized graph Γ by multiplying each edge length of Γ by a constant M. Then $V(\beta) = V(\Gamma)$, $E(\beta) = E(\Gamma)$ and $\ell(\beta) = M \cdot \ell(\Gamma)$. For the resistance functions $r_\Gamma(x,y)$ on Γ and $r_\beta(x,y)$ on β, we have $r_\beta(x,y) = M \cdot r_\Gamma(x,y)$. Now, if we use Proposition 2.1, we obtain $\tau(\beta) = M \cdot \tau(\Gamma)$. This gives $\tau(\beta^N) = \frac{\tau(\beta)}{\ell(\beta)} = \frac{\tau(\Gamma)}{\ell(\Gamma)} = \tau(\Gamma^N)$ which is one of the motivation to have Conjecture 1.1 (see [4, Page 265]). We call this property the scale independence of tau constant. Using this property, we can work with normalized metrized graphs in our search of small tau constants.

Now, we recall some basic facts and definitions from graph theory. A graph is called r-regular if $\upsilon(p) = r$ for every vertex p of the graph. For an r-regular graph with e edges and v vertices, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e &= \frac{r \cdot v}{2}, \quad \text{if the graph is } r\text{-regular,} \\ e &\geq \frac{r \cdot v}{2}, \quad \text{if } \upsilon(p) \geq r \text{ for every vertex } p \text{ in the graph.} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

A 3-regular graph is called a cubic graph. In particular, we have $e = \frac{3 \cdot v}{2}$ for a cubic graph, and $e \geq \frac{3 \cdot v}{2}$ if $\upsilon(p) \geq 3$ for every vertex p.

If $v \leq 48$, $\tau(\Gamma) \geq \frac{\ell(\Gamma)}{108}$ (see [9, Theorem 6.10 part (2)]). Hence, we should consider metrized graphs having more than 48 vertices for our purpose.

If $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \{p\}$ for any two metrized graphs Γ_1 and Γ_2, we have $\tau(\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2) = \tau(\Gamma_1) + \tau(\Gamma_2)$ where the union is taken along the vertex p. This is called the additive property of the tau constant (see [7, Page 15]). As a consequence of this property, we can restrict our attention to the metrized graphs with vertex connectivity at least 2 to find smaller tau constants. In particular, we should consider metrized graphs without self loops, since the vertex connectivity is 1 for such graphs.

The formula for the tau constant given in Proposition 2.1 has two positive parts. Namely, the first part contains the term $\sum_{e_i \in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_i^3}{(L_i+R_i)^2}$, and the second part contains the term $\sum_{e_i \in \Gamma} \frac{L_i(R_{a_i,p}-R_{b_i,p})^2}{(L_i+R_i)^2}$. To have smaller $\tau(\Gamma)$, one idea is to find examples of metrized graphs for which these two terms are smaller. Now, we recall the following equality [8, Equation 3]:

$$\sum_{e_i \in \Gamma} \frac{L_i(R_{a_i,p} - R_{b_i,p})^2}{(L_i + R_i)^2} = \sum_{e_i \in \Gamma} \frac{(r(p_i,p) - r(q_i,p))^2}{L_i}, \tag{7}$$

where p_i and q_i are the end points of the edge e_i. To have smaller second term, Equation (7) suggests that we need $r(p_i, p)$ and $r(q_i, p)$ be close to each other as much as possible for any choices of e_i and p. This means that the metrized graph should have high symmetry in terms of the positioning of the vertices, and that have equal edge lengths. Moreover, this is more likely the case if we deal with a regular metrized graph.

If we have larger R_i's, we will have smaller first term $\sum_{e_i \in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_i^3}{(L_i+R_i)^2}$. This suggests that we should consider metrized graphs with small edge connectivity. To put it roughly, this is because of the fact that having more parallel edges between any two points in the network means having lower effective resistance between those two points. Another result supporting this observation is the following inequality for a normalized Γ [7, Theorem 2.25]:

$$\sum_{e_i \in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_i^3}{(L_i + R_i)^2} \geq \frac{1}{(1 + \sum_{e_i \in E(\Gamma)} R_i)^2}.$$

We also note that we should consider metrized graphs with larger girth to have larger R_i's, where the girth is defined as the length of the shortest cycle in the metrized graph. Thus we can state the following remark:

Remark 3.1. *We should consider metrized graphs with smaller edge connectivity and larger girth to have smaller first term of the tau constant.*

Various computations we made show that the values of these two terms from Proposition 2.1 are interrelated. That is, making one of these two terms smaller may increase the other term for any given metrized graph, and so the tau constant may not be small. For example, we can find metrized graphs with arbitrary small first terms among the family of normalized graphs $\Gamma(a, b, t)$ given in [7, Example 8.2], but then we will have very large second terms for such graphs to the point that their tau constants get closer to $\frac{1}{12}$.

Another issue to keep in mind is that the symbolic computations of tau constants can be very difficult in general. As a function of edge lengths for a metrized graph Γ, $\tau(\Gamma)$ is nothing but a rational function $\frac{P}{Q}$, where P and Q are homogeneous polynomials in the edge lengths such that $\deg(P) = \deg(Q) + 1$. Most of the time, the actual symbolic value of tau constant can be very lengthy. However, having specific assumptions on Γ can make the computation of $\tau(\Gamma)$ easier.

Having guided by the observations above, we should consider metrized graphs Γ such that $L_i = L_j$ and $R_i = R_j$ for every edges e_i and e_j in $E(\Gamma)$. Note that these are very strong assumptions for a metrized graph.

Whenever $L_i = L_j$ and $R_i = R_j$ for every edges e_i and e_j in $E(\Gamma)$, Γ is one of the following graphs:

- Γ is a tree graph, i.e., Γ consists of bridges only.
- Γ is the union of circle graphs along one point, i.e., Γ consists of self loops only and Γ has only one vertex.
- Γ does not contain any bridges or self loops.

For our purposes, we work with bridgeless metrized graphs without self loops.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Γ be a bridgeless normalized metrized graph with no self loops such that $L_i = L_j$ and $R_i = R_j$ for every edges e_i and e_j in $E(\Gamma)$. Then we have $L_i = \frac{1}{e}$ and*

$R_i = \frac{v-1}{e\cdot g}$. *Moreover,*

$$\frac{R_i}{L_i+R_i} = \frac{v-1}{e}, \qquad \sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_iR_i}{L_i+R_i} = \frac{v-1}{e}, \qquad \sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_iR_i^2}{(L_i+R_i)^2} = (\frac{v-1}{e})^2,$$

$$\frac{L_i}{L_i+R_i} = \frac{g}{e}, \qquad \sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_i^2}{L_i+R_i} = \frac{g}{e}, \qquad \sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_i^3}{(L_i+R_i)^2} = (\frac{g}{e})^2.$$

Proof. Since each edge length is equal and Γ is normalized, $L_i = \frac{1}{e}$ for every edge e_i in $E(\Gamma)$. It follows from the assumptions that $\frac{L_i}{L_i+R_i} = \frac{L_j}{L_j+R_j}$ and $\frac{R_i}{L_i+R_i} = \frac{R_j}{L_j+R_j}$ for every edges e_i and e_j in $E(\Gamma)$. Now, we use Equation (3) to derive $\frac{R_i}{L_i+R_i} = \frac{v-1}{e}$ and $\frac{L_i}{L_i+R_i} = \frac{g}{e}$. Using the latter equality along with the fact that $g = e-v+1$, we obtain $R_i = \frac{v-1}{e\cdot g}$. One can obtain the remaining equalities in the theorem using what we derived. For example,

$$\sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_i^3}{(L_i+R_i)^2} = e\cdot L_i(\frac{L_i}{L_i+R_i})^2 = (\frac{L_i}{L_i+R_i})^2 = (\frac{g}{e})^2.$$

□

Corollary 3.3. *Let Γ be an r-regular bridgeless normalized metrized graph with no self loops such that $L_i = L_j$ and $R_i = R_j$ for every edges e_i and e_j in $E(\Gamma)$. Then we have $L_i = \frac{2}{r\cdot v}$ and $R_i = \frac{4(v-1)}{r(r-2)v^2+2rv}$. Moreover,*

$$\frac{R_i}{L_i+R_i} = \frac{2(v-1)}{rv}, \qquad \sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_iR_i}{L_i+R_i} = \frac{2(v-1)}{rv},$$

$$\frac{L_i}{L_i+R_i} = 1-\frac{2(v-1)}{rv}, \qquad \sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_i^2}{L_i+R_i} = 1-\frac{2(v-1)}{rv},$$

$$\sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_iR_i^2}{(L_i+R_i)^2} = \frac{4(v-1)^2}{r^2v^2}, \qquad \sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_i^3}{(L_i+R_i)^2} = (1-\frac{2(v-1)}{rv})^2.$$

Proof. The results follows from Theorem 3.2 by using the facts that $e = \frac{rv}{2}$ and $g = e-v+1$. □

When we work with an r-regular metrized graph as in Corollary 3.3, we see that the term $\sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_i^3}{(L_i+R_i)^2}$ decreases as r gets smaller. Whenever $r=3$ we have

$$\sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_i^3}{(L_i+R_i)^2} = \frac{1}{9}(1+\frac{2}{v})^2. \tag{8}$$

Next, we turn our attention to the second term $\sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_i\left(R_{b_i,p}-R_{a_i,p}\right)^2}{(L_i+R_i)^2}$. First, we recall the following fact, where $\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+)$ denotes the trace of L^+:

Theorem 3.4. [8, Theorem 4.8] *Let* L *be the discrete Laplacian matrix of size $v\times v$ for a metrized graph Γ, and let* L^+ *be the pseudo inverse of* L. *Suppose p_i and q_i are the end points of edge $e_i\in E(\Gamma)$, and R_i, $R_{a_i,p}$, $R_{b_i,p}$ and L_i are as defined before. Then we have*

$$\sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)} \frac{L_i\left(R_{b_i,p}-R_{a_i,p}\right)^2}{(L_i+R_i)^2} = \frac{4}{v}tr(\mathrm{L}^+) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q,\,s\in V(\Gamma)} l_{qs}\left(l^+_{qq}-l^+_{ss}\right)^2.$$

We know by [8, Lemma 4.7] that

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{q,\,s\in V(\Gamma)} l_{qs}\big(l^+_{qq}-l^+_{ss}\big)^2=\sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)}\frac{1}{L_i}\big(l^+_{p_ip_i}-l^+_{q_iq_i}\big)^2\geq 0. \tag{9}$$

In order to make the second term smaller, we want to have a metrized graph with $l^+_{pp}=l^+_{qq}$ for any two vertices p and q. That is, we want L^+ have a constant diagonal. The following lemma describes some sufficient conditions for this purpose, which were also used in Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3.

Lemma 3.5. *Let Γ be an r-regular normalized metrized graph with $L_i=L_j$ and $R_i=R_j$ for every edges e_i and e_j in $E(\Gamma)$. Suppose Γ has no multiple edges. Then, $l^+_{pp}=\frac{1}{v}tr(\mathrm{L}^+)$ for any vertex p. In particular, we have*

$$\sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)}\frac{L_i\big(R_{b_i,p}-R_{a_i,p}\big)^2}{(L_i+R_i)^2}=\frac{4}{v}tr(\mathrm{L}^+).$$

Proof. Let p be a vertex of Γ. Then by part (2) of [8, Lemma 4.6],

$$\begin{aligned}
l^+_{pp}&=\frac{1}{v}\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+)-\sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)}\frac{R_i}{L_i+R_i}(l^+_{pp_i}+l^+_{pq_i}),\\
&=\frac{1}{v}\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+)-\frac{v-1}{e}\sum_{e_i\in E(\Gamma)} l^+_{pp_i}+l^+_{pq_i},\quad \text{by Theorem 3.2,}\\
&=\frac{1}{v}\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+)-\frac{v-1}{e}\sum_{q\in V(\Gamma)}\upsilon(q)l^+_{pq},\\
&=\frac{1}{v}\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+)-\frac{r(v-1)}{e}\sum_{q\in V(\Gamma)} l^+_{pq},\quad \text{since } \Gamma \text{ is } r\text{-regular.}
\end{aligned}$$

Then the equality $l^+_{pp}=\frac{1}{v}\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+)$ follows from Remark 2.2. Using this equality and Theorem 3.4, we obtain the second equality in the lemma. □

Next, we use Proposition 2.1 along with the results we derived in Theorem 3.3, Lemma 3.5 and Equation (8) to obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.6. *Let Γ be a r-regular normalized metrized graph with $L_i=L_j$ and $R_i=R_j$ for every edges e_i and e_j in $E(\Gamma)$. Suppose Γ has no multiple edges, bridges and self loops. Then*

$$\tau(\Gamma)=\frac{1}{12}(1-\frac{2(v-1)}{rv})^2+\frac{1}{v}tr(\mathrm{L}^+).$$

In particular, if such a metrized graph Γ is cubic,

$$\tau(\Gamma)=\frac{1}{108}(1+\frac{2}{v})^2+\frac{1}{v}tr(\mathrm{L}^+).$$

Theorem 3.6 gives specific conditions for a metrized graph Γ to have simplified $\tau(\Gamma)$ formulas. Lemma 3.7 gives connections between such conditions and various other criteria.

Lemma 3.7. *Let Γ be a r-regular metrized graph with $L_i=L_j$ for every edges e_i and e_j in $E(\Gamma)$. Suppose that e_i has end points p_i and q_i, and that e_j has end points p_j and q_j. Set*

1. *$R_i=R_j$ for every edges e_i and e_j.*
2. *$r(p_i,q_i)=r(p_j,q_j)$ for every edges e_i and e_j.*

(3) $l^+_{pp} = \frac{1}{v}tr(\mathrm{L}^+)$ *for any vertex* p *in* Γ, *and* $l^+_{p_iq_i} = l^+_{p_jq_j}$ *for every edges* e_i *and* e_j.
(4) Γ *is* r*-regular and* $r(p_i, q_i) = r(p_j, q_j)$ *for every edges* e_i *and* e_j.
(5) *For any two vertices* p *and* q, $\sum_{s\in V(\Gamma)} r(s,p) = \sum_{s\in V(\Gamma)} r(s,q)$, *and* $\sum_{s\in V(\Gamma)} j_s(p_i, q_i) = \sum_{s\in V(\Gamma)} j_s(p_j, q_j)$ *for every edges* e_i *and* e_j.

Then we have

$$(4) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (1), \quad \textit{and} \quad (5) \Leftrightarrow (3).$$

Proof. Since $r(p_i, q_i) = \frac{L_iR_i}{L_i+R_i}$, $r(p_j, q_j) = \frac{L_jR_j}{L_j+R_j}$ by Equation (2), (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (1) follows from the assumption that $L_i = L_j$ for every edges e_i and e_j.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) follows from Lemma 2.3.

Suppose Γ is r-regular and $r(p_i, q_i) = r(p_j, q_j)$ for every edges e_i and e_j. Then we use (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) and Lemma 3.5 to conclude that $l^+_{pp} = \frac{1}{v}tr(\mathrm{L}^+)$ for any vertex p in Γ. On the other hand, $r(p_i, q_i) = r(p_j, q_j)$ for any two edges e_i and e_j implies $l^+_{p_ip_i} - 2l^+_{p_iq_i} + l^+_{q_iq_i} = l^+_{p_jp_j} - 2l^+_{p_jq_j} + l^+_{q_jq_j}$ for any two edges e_i and e_j by Lemma 2.3. This equality and the fact that $l^+_{pp} = \frac{1}{v}tr(\mathrm{L}^+)$ for any vertex p in Γ give us $l^+_{p_iq_i} = l^+_{p_jq_j}$ for every edges e_i and e_j. Hence, we obtain (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3).

It follows from Equations (4) that $\sum_{s\in V(\Gamma)} r(s,p) = \sum_{s\in V(\Gamma)} r(s,q)$ iff $l^+_{pp} = l^+_{qq}$ for any two vertices p and q. Similarly, Equation (5) implies that $\sum_{s\in V(\Gamma)} j_s(p_i, q_i) = \sum_{s\in V(\Gamma)} j_s(p_j, q_j)$ iff $l^+_{p_iq_i} = l^+_{p_jq_j}$ for every edges e_i and e_j. This proves (5) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3). □

We note that $\tau(\Gamma) \geq \frac{\ell(\Gamma)}{108}$ if the edge connectivity of Γ is at least 6 (see [9, Theorem 6.10]). Moreover, we know the following upper and lower bounds to the tau constant of a r-regular normalized metrized graph Γ with equal edge length and edge connectivity r (see [9, Theorem 6.11]):

$$\frac{1}{12} - \frac{(v-1)(r-2)}{3vr^2} \geq \tau(\Gamma) \geq \frac{1}{12} - \frac{(v-1)((r-1)v^2 - 5v + 6)}{3r^2v^3}. \tag{10}$$

In particular, if Γ is a 3-regular normalized metrized graph with equal edge length and edge connectivity 3, we have the following lower bound:

$$\tau(\Gamma) \geq \frac{1}{108} + \frac{7v^2 - 11v + 6}{27v^3}. \tag{11}$$

So far in this section, we interpreted various results on $\tau(\Gamma)$ in regards to their implications for having small tau constants. By gathering these interpretations, we expected that a metrized graph having small tau constant should satisfy various properties some of which are very strong. Then we worked with such metrized graphs and derived simplified formulas for their tau constants. However, we have not seen any example of such metrized graphs yet. Next, we give an example to show that we indeed have such special type of metrized graphs.

Example 3.8. *Let* Γ *be a normalized metrized graph which is a complete graph on* v *vertices. Suppose* $L_i = L_j$ *for every edges* e_i *and* e_j *in* $E(\Gamma)$. *Since* Γ *is a complete graph with* v *vertices, it is a regular graph with* $\upsilon(p) = v - 1$ *for each vertex* p *and that it has* $e = \frac{v(v-1)}{2}$ *edges. Because of the symmetries of* Γ, *we have* $r(p_i, q_i) = r(p_j, q_j)$ *and so* $R_i = R_j$ *by Lemma 3.7 for each edge* e_i *and* e_j. *Then we use Theorem 3.3 to see* $r(p_i, q_i) = \frac{L_iR_i}{L_i+R_i} = \frac{1}{e}\frac{2(v-1)}{rv} = \frac{4}{v^2(v-1)}$. *Since any two vertices are connected by an edge, we have* $r(p, q) = \frac{4}{v^2(v-1)}$ *for any two vertices* p *and* q. *Therefore,* $\sum_{p,\,q\in V(\Gamma)} r(p, q) = (v^2 - v)\frac{4}{v^2(v-1)} = \frac{4}{v}$.



FIGURE 1. Hexagonal lattice with n horizantal and m vertical hexagons to form $H(n, m)$.

On the other hand, $\sum_{p,\, q \in V(\Gamma)} r(p, q) = 2vtr(L^+)$ *by the second equality in Equations (4). Therefore,* $2vtr(\mathrm{L}^+) = \frac{4}{v}$*, so* $tr(\mathrm{L}) = \frac{2}{v^2}$*. Finally, we use Theorem 3.6 with* $r = v - 1$ *to derive*

$$\tau(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{12}(1 - \frac{2}{v})^2 + \frac{2}{v^3}.$$

Our findings in the example above are consistent with [7, Proposition 2.16], but we used a different method in this case.

Although a complete graph with equal edge lengths have various symmetry properties that we are looking for, it has the highest regularity among the graphs without self loops and multiple edges and having v vertices. Thus we don't expect to have small tau constants for such graphs as Corollary 3.3 suggests. In the rest of the paper, we focus on cubic graphs with various symmetries.

4. Hexagonal Nets Around a Torus

In this section, we consider the metrized graph $\Gamma = \mathrm{H}(n, m)$ which is the hexagonal net around a torus. This is obtained as follows:

- Make regular hexagonal tessellation of the plane.
- Take a region from this tessellation containing n horizontal and m vertical hexagonal cells as shown in Figure 1.
- Connect the vertical vertices on the boundaries by edges. Namely, there will be edges with the following pairs of end points

$$(a_1, b_1),\ (a_2, b_2),\ (a_3, b_3),\ \cdots,\ (a_{m+1}, b_{m+1}).$$

- Connect the horizontal vertices on the boundaries by edges. Namely, there will be edges with the following pairs of points

$$(a_1, d_1),\ (c_1, d_2),\ (c_2, d_3),\ \cdots,\ (c_{n-1}, d_n),\ (c_n, b_{m+1}).$$

This graph is also known as hexagonal torus, honeycomb, toroidal fullerenes, toroidal polyhex or toroidal 6-cage in the literature. Here, we assume that each edge length in $\mathrm{H}(n, m)$ is equal to 1 first. Then we work with its normalization.

We give the adjacency matrix of $\mathrm{H}(n, m)$, $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{H}(n, m))$, for a better description. We follow [23, Section 2.4] for the definition of the adjacency matrix.

Let $A \otimes B$ is the tensor product of the matrices A and B. Let C_n be the cycle metrized graph with n vertices. For the matrix $\mathrm{B}_n = (b_{ij})_{n\times n}$, where

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (i,j) \in \{(1,2),(2,3),\cdots,(n-1,n),(n,1)\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we can express the adjacency matrix of C_n by using B_n. Namely, $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{C}_n) = \mathrm{B}_n + \mathrm{B}_n^T$.

For the matrix $\mathrm{F}_{2m} = (f_{ij})_{2m\times 2m}$, where

$$f_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (i,j) \in \{(2,1),(4,3),\cdots,(2m,2m-1)\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we define the adjacency matrix of $\mathrm{H}(n,m)$ as follows:

$$\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{H}(n,m)) = \mathrm{I}_{n+1} \otimes \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{C}_{2m+2}) + \mathrm{B}_{n+1} \otimes \mathrm{F}_{2m+2} + \mathrm{B}_{n+1}^T \otimes \mathrm{F}_{2m+2}^T.$$

Let $\mathrm{D}_{n,m} = \mathrm{diag}(3,3,\cdots,3)$ be a diagonal matrix of size $2(n+1)(m+1)\times 2(n+1)(m+1)$. Then the discrete Laplacian matrix of $\mathrm{H}(n,m)$ is defined to be

$$\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{H}(n,m)) = \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{H}(n,m)) - \mathrm{D}_{n,m}$$

.

For example, the discrete Laplacian matrix of $\mathrm{H}(2,1)$ is as follows:

$$\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{H}(2,1)) = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}_{12\times 12}.$$

The metrized graph $\mathrm{H}(n,m)$ is a cubic metrized graph having $v = 2(n+1)(m+1)$ vertices and $e = 3(n+1)(m+1)$ edges, so it has $g = (n+1)(m+1)+1$.

Our motivation to consider the metrized graphs $\mathrm{H}(n,m)$ is that it satisfies the specific conditions described in §3:

Remark 4.1. *Because of the symmetries of the metrized graph* $\mathrm{H}(n,n)$ *(when* $m = n$*), we have the equality* $r(p_i,q_i) = r(p_j,q_j)$ *for each edges* e_i *and* e_j *in* $\mathrm{H}(n,n)$.

The eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{H}(n,m))$ are known to be the following values (see [20, Section 6.2], [23, Section 2.4] or [22, Section 4])

$$\lambda_{i,j,k} = 3 + (-1)^k \sqrt{3 + 2\cos\frac{2\pi i}{n+1} + 2\cos\frac{2\pi j}{m+1} + 2\cos\big(\frac{2\pi i}{n+1} + \frac{2\pi j}{m+1}\big)},$$

where i, j and k are integers such that $0 \le i \le n$, $0 \le j \le m$, $0 \le k \le 1$.

For general theory of toroidal fullerenes, one can see [13, Part II].

We know that 0 is an eigenvalue of both L and L^+ with multiplicity 1 for a connected graph. The other eigenvalues of L^+ are of the form $\frac{1}{\lambda}$, where λ is a nonzero eigenvalue of L.

Note that $\lambda_{0,0,1}=0$, $\lambda_{0,0,0}=6$ and whenever $(i,j)\neq(0,0)$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{i,j,0}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{i,j,1}}=\frac{3}{3-\cos\frac{2\pi i}{n+1}-\cos\frac{2\pi j}{m+1}-\cos\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n+1}+\frac{2\pi j}{m+1}\right)}.$$

Thus, we have the following equality for the pseudo inverse L^+ of $\mathrm{H}(n,m)$:

$$\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+)=\frac{1}{6}+\sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{m}\frac{3}{3-\cos\frac{2\pi i}{n+1}-\cos\frac{2\pi j}{m+1}-\cos\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n+1}+\frac{2\pi j}{m+1}\right)}, \tag{12}$$

where $(i,j)\neq(0,0)$.

If $i=0$, we have

$$3-\cos\frac{2\pi i}{n}-\cos\frac{2\pi j}{n}-\cos\left(\frac{2\pi(i+j)}{n}\right)=2-2\cos\frac{2\pi j}{n}=4\sin^2\frac{\pi j}{n}.$$

Now, we note the following equality (see [5, Equation 5.2 and Corollary 5.2], [18, page 644]):

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\csc^2\frac{\pi j}{n}=\frac{n^2-1}{3}. \tag{13}$$

Using the fact that $\csc^2 x=1+cot^2x$, we see that Equation (13) can be obtained from

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\cot^2\frac{\pi j}{n}=\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{3}, \tag{14}$$

which can be found in [14, page 7], [5, Equation 1.1] and the references therein.

Whenever $m=n$, we use Equation (13) to rewrite Equation (12) as follows:

$$\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+)=\frac{1}{6}+\frac{n(n+2)}{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{3}{3-\cos\frac{2\pi i}{n+1}-\cos\frac{2\pi j}{n+1}-\cos\frac{2\pi(i+j)}{n+1}}. \tag{15}$$

Next, we compute the tau constant of $\mathrm{H}^N(n,n)$:

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose $n\geq 3$. We have*

$$\tau(\mathrm{H}^N(n-1,n-1))=\frac{n^4+11n^2-5}{108n^4}+\frac{1}{2n^4}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{3-\cos\frac{2\pi i}{n}-\cos\frac{2\pi j}{n}-\cos\left(\frac{2\pi(i+j)}{n}\right)}.$$

Proof. The edge lengths are chosen to be 1 for $\mathrm{H}(n-1,n-1)$, which has $v=2n^2$ vertices, $e=3n^2$ edges and genus $g=n^2-1$. Since $\ell(\mathrm{H}(n-1,n-1))=3n^2$, we obtain $\mathrm{H}^N(n-1,n-1)$ by dividing each edge length in $\mathrm{H}(n-1,n-1)$ by $3n^2$.

Let L^+ be the pseudo inverse of the discrete Laplacian of the normalized metrized graph $\mathrm{H}^N(n-1,n-1)$. Now, by using Equation (15) we derive

$$\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+)=\frac{1}{18n^2}+\frac{n^2-1}{6n^2}+\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{3-\cos\frac{2\pi i}{n}-\cos\frac{2\pi j}{n}-\cos\frac{2\pi(i+j)}{n}}. \tag{16}$$

On the other hand, we use Remark 4.1 and Theorem 3.6 to derive

$$\tau(\mathrm{H}^N(n-1,n-1))=\frac{1}{108}(1+\frac{1}{n^2})^2+\frac{1}{2n^2}\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+). \tag{17}$$

Hence, the proof of the theorem follows from this equality and Equation (16). □

Lemma 4.3. *For every integer $n \geq 2$, we have*

$$\frac{(n-1)^2}{6} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{3-\cos\frac{2\pi i}{n}-\cos\frac{2\pi j}{n}-\cos\frac{2\pi(i+j)}{n}} \leq \frac{(n+1)(n-1)^2}{6}.$$

Proof.

$$3-\cos\frac{2\pi i}{n}-\cos\frac{2\pi j}{n}-\cos\frac{2\pi(i+j)}{n} = 2\Big[\sin^2\frac{\pi i}{n}+\sin^2\frac{\pi j}{n}+\sin^2\frac{\pi(i+j)}{n}\Big] \tag{18}$$

and

$$\sin^2\frac{\pi i}{n} \leq \sin^2\frac{\pi i}{n}+\sin^2\frac{\pi j}{n}+\sin^2\frac{\pi(i+j)}{n} \leq 3$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{3} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\sin^2\frac{\pi i}{n}+\sin^2\frac{\pi j}{n}+\sin^2\frac{\pi(i+j)}{n}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\sin^2\frac{\pi i}{n}}$$

Using Equation (13),

$$\frac{(n-1)^2}{3} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\sin^2\frac{\pi i}{n}+\sin^2\frac{\pi j}{n}+\sin^2\frac{\pi(i+j)}{n}} \leq \frac{(n+1)(n-1)^2}{3} \tag{19}$$

Hence, the proof follows from Equation (18) and Equation (19).

□

Theorem 4.4. *We have*

$$\frac{n^4+20n^2-18n+4}{108n^4} \leq \tau(\mathrm{H}^N(n-1,n-1)) \leq \frac{n^4+9n^3+2n^2-9n+4}{108n^4}.$$

In particular, $\tau(\mathrm{H}^N(n,n)) \to \frac{1}{108}$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. The proof of the first part in the theorem follows from Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.3.

Taking the limit of the each term in the first part, we see that both upper and lower bounds tend to $\frac{1}{108}$ as $n \to \infty$. Hence, $\tau(\mathrm{H}^N(n,n)) \to \frac{1}{108}$ as $n \to \infty$.

□

Theorem 4.4 shows that tau constants of the metrized graphs $\mathrm{H}^N(n,n)$ asymptotically approach to the conjectural lower bound $\frac{1}{108}$. Recall that if the conjectural lower bound $\frac{1}{108}$ is correct, there is no metrized graph β with $\tau(\beta) = \frac{1}{108}$ [7, Theorem 4.8].

Note that the lower bound to $\tau(\mathrm{H}^N(n,n))$ given in Theorem 4.4 is better than the one given in Equation (10).

Now, we give approximate values of our formulas for large values of n. First, we observe that (see [22, page 648])

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+)}{(n+1)(m+1)} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{3}{3-\cos x-\cos y-\cos(x+y)}dxdy \approx 5.4661, \tag{20}$$

where L^+ is as in Equation (12). If we rewrite this equality for the pseudo inverse of $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{H}^N(n-1,n-1))$ and use it in Equation (17), we derive the following approximation for large values of n:

$$\tau(\mathrm{H}^N(n-1,n-1)) \approx \frac{1}{108}(1+\frac{1}{n^2})^2 + \frac{1}{6n^2}5.4661.$$

To have an exact formula for $\tau(\mathrm{H}^N(n-1,n-1))$, our work highlight the following questions:

What is the exact value of the following finite trigonometric sum in terms of n?

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{3-\cos\frac{2\pi i}{n}-\cos\frac{2\pi j}{n}-\cos\frac{2\pi(i+j)}{n}}.$$

Our computations using [17] indicate that it has rational values for any given integer n. For example, when $2\leq n\leq 10$ its values are as follows:
$\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{10}{9}$, $\frac{11}{4}$, $\frac{58}{11}$, $\frac{1577}{180}$, $\frac{3812}{287}$, $\frac{529}{28}$, $\frac{419788}{16371}$, $\frac{813957}{24244}$.

F. Y. Wu [21, Equations 2 and 11] computed a similar integration (related to the sum of the eigenvalues of L instead of L^+):

$$\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\ln\big(6-2\cos x-2\cos y-2\cos(x+y)\big)dxdy=\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{\pi}(1-\frac{1}{5^2}+\frac{1}{7^2}-\frac{1}{11^2}+\frac{1}{13^2}-\frac{1}{17^2}+\frac{1}{19^2}-\cdots).$$

Do we have a similar formula for the integral given in Equation (20)?

We expect that answering these questions can help us to understand number theoretic applications of the tau constant.

We finish this section by giving lower an upper bounds to the Kirchhoff index of $\mathrm{H}(n,n)$.

Theorem 4.5. *For every integer $n\geq 2$, we have*

$$\frac{2n(n+1)^2(2n+3)}{3}+\frac{(n+1)^2}{3}\leq Kf(\mathrm{H}(n,n))\leq\frac{n(n+1)^2(n+2)(n+3)}{3}+\frac{(n+1)^2}{3}.$$

Proof. Let L^+ be the pseudo inverse of the discrete Laplacian of $\mathrm{H}(n,n)$, and let $r(x,y)$ be the resistance function on $\mathrm{H}(n,n)$. By definition, $Kf(\mathrm{H}(n,n))=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{p,q,\in V(\mathrm{H}(n,n))}r(p,q)$. Now, one obtains $\sum_{p,q,\in V(\mathrm{H}(n,n))}r(p,q)=4(n+1)^2\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+)$ by the second equality in Equation (4) and the fact that $\mathrm{H}(n,n)$ has $2(n+1)^2$ vertices.

On the other hand, we use Equation (15) and Lemma 4.3 to derive

$$\frac{n(2n+3)}{3}+\frac{1}{6}\leq\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{L}^+)\leq\frac{n(n+2)(n+3)}{6}+\frac{1}{6}.$$

Hence, the result follows by combining our findings. □

5. Metrized Graphs With Small Tau Constants

In this section, we first give numerical evaluations of $\tau(\mathrm{H}^N(n,m))$ for several values of n and m. Then we construct two other families of normalized metrized graphs. Our numerical computations suggest that the tau constants of the graphs in these families approach to $\frac{1}{108}$. Although, we don't have any theoretical proofs for these new families, the computations show that honeycomb graphs $\mathrm{H}^N(n,n)$ are not the only families of metrized graphs with small tau constants.

Example I: Honeycomb graphs $\mathrm{H}^N(n,m)$ with large n and m values, not necessarily $n=m$, have small tau constants. This can be seen in Table 1.

Example II: Let a and b be two integers that are bigger than 2. We construct a family of normalized cubic metrized graphs $\mathrm{MM}(a,b)$ with equal edge lengths and having $4ab$ vertices as follows:

First, we take two copies of circle graph C_{ab} having ab vertices. We label them as A and B. Secondly, we take a copies of circle graph C_{2b} with $2b$ vertices. Finally, we set up

$n\backslash m$	5	50	100	150	165
5	$\frac{1}{57.21661}$	$\frac{1}{86.28266}$	$\frac{1}{88.80202}$	$\frac{1}{89.67482}$	$\frac{1}{89.83536}$
50	$\frac{1}{86.28266}$	$\frac{1}{86.28266}$	$\frac{1}{106.93826}$	$\frac{1}{107.22594}$	$\frac{1}{107.27841}$
100	$\frac{1}{88.80202}$	$\frac{1}{106.93826}$	$\frac{1}{107.44199}$	$\frac{1}{107.61066}$	$\frac{1}{107.64154}$
150	$\frac{1}{89.67482}$	$\frac{1}{107.22594}$	$\frac{1}{107.61066}$	$\frac{1}{107.73206}$	$\frac{1}{107.75424}$
165	$\frac{1}{89.83536}$	$\frac{1}{107.27841}$	$\frac{1}{107.64154}$	$\frac{1}{107.75424}$	$\frac{1}{107.77473}$

TABLE 1. The tau constants for $\mathrm{H}^N(n-1,m-1)$ for $n,\ m \in \{5, 50, 100, 150, 165\}$. $\mathrm{H}^N(n-1,m-1)$ has $2nm$ vertices. In particular, $\mathrm{H}^N(164,164)$ has 54450 vertices.



FIGURE 2. Construction of the graph MM(4,2).

edges between the circle graphs with ab vertices and the circle graphs with $2b$ vertices. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, a\}$, we group the vertices of C_i into sets A_i and B_i in an alternating way. Then, we connect a vertex in A_i to a vertex in A by adding an edge. Similarly, we connect a vertex in B_i to a vertex in B by adding an edge. If a vertex $j \in A_i$ is connected to a vertex $k \in A$, then we add an edge between $j+2 \in A_i$ and $a+k \in A$. Similarly, if a vertex $j \in B_i$ is connected to a vertex $k \in B$, then we add an edge between $j+2 \in B_i$ and $a+k \in B$. More precise description can be given as below:

The circle graph with label A has vertices $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_{ab}$ (and so the edges with the pair of end points $(v_1,v_2), (v_2,v_3), \cdots, (v_{ab-1},v_{ab}), (v_{ab},v_1)$).

The circle graph with label B has vertices $v_{ab+1}, v_{ab+2}, \cdots, v_{2ab}$.

For each $k \in \{1, 2, \cdots, a\}$, the circle graph C_k has vertices $v_{2ab+2b(k-1)+1}, v_{2ab+2b(k-1)+2}, \cdots, v_{2ab+2b(k-1)+2b} = v_{2b(a+k)}$.

The edges other than the ones on the circle graphs are given as follows:

For each $k \in \{1, 2, \cdots, a\}$ and for each $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, b\}$, we have two edges with the pair of end points $(v_{2ab+2b(k-1)+2j-1}, v_{a(j-1)+k})$ and $(v_{2ab+2b(k-1)+2j}, v_{ab+a(j-1)+k})$, respectively. Here, the first edge connects C_k and A, and the other edge connects C_k and B. Figure 2 illustrates $MM(4,2)$.

Because of the symmetries of $MM(a,b)$ and the fact that it is a cubic graph with equal edge lengths, we expect that its tau constant will be small.

Figure 3 illustrates the graphs of $MM(a,b)$ for several values of a and b. We used Mathematica [17] to draw these graphs.

Example III: Let a, b and c be positive integers such that b and c are of different parity. Then we construct a cubic normalized metrized graph $TT(a,b,c)$ with equal edge lengths as follows:

We first construct a 3-Cayley tree containing $3 \cdot 2^a - 2$ vertices. In such a graph, the number of outer vertices is $3 \cdot 2^{a-1}$ and the number of inner vertices is $k = 3.2^{a-1} - 2$, where the inner vertices are the ones with valence 3. Figure 4 shows such graphs with



FIGURE 3. Graphs of $MM(a, b)$, where $(a, b) \in \{(8, 6), (12, 10), (16, 16)\}$ on the first column and $(a, b) \in \{(12, 8), (12, 14), (16, 9)\}$ on the second column.

$a\backslash b$	5	50	100	110	116
5	$\frac{1}{72.89444}$	$\frac{1}{94.18968}$	$\frac{1}{95.74330}$	$\frac{1}{95.88708}$	$\frac{1}{95.96162}$
50	$\frac{1}{100.30286}$	$\frac{1}{107.12515}$	$\frac{1}{107.46364}$	$\frac{1}{107.49452}$	$\frac{1}{107.51050}$
100	$\frac{1}{102.43605}$	$\frac{1}{107.51720}$	$\frac{1}{107.70897}$	$\frac{1}{107.72642}$	$\frac{1}{107.73545}$
110	$\frac{1}{102.63448}$	$\frac{1}{107.55209}$	$\frac{1}{107.72935}$	$\frac{1}{107.74546}$	$\frac{1}{107.75379}$
116	$\frac{1}{102.73742}$	$\frac{1}{107.57013}$	$\frac{1}{107.73979}$	$\frac{1}{1/107.75520}$	

TABLE 2. The tau constants for several normalized metrized graphs $MM(a,b)$ which has $4ab$ vertices. For example, $MM(116,110)$ has 51040 vertices.



FIGURE 4. Graphs of 3-Cayley graphs when a is 1, 2, 3 and 4 in order.

$b\backslash c$	514	258	130	66	34	18
2049	$\frac{1}{107.49402}$	$\frac{1}{107.59561}$	$\frac{1}{107.61874}$	$\frac{1}{107.62882}$	$\frac{1}{107.63435}$	$\frac{1}{107.60193}$
1025	$\frac{1}{107.44445}$	$\frac{1}{107.60114}$	$\frac{1}{107.63523}$	$\frac{1}{107.64677}$	$\frac{1}{107.66068}$	$\frac{1}{107.66957}$
513	$\frac{1}{106.99123}$	$\frac{1}{107.52468}$	$\frac{1}{107.62509}$	$\frac{1}{107.64779}$	$\frac{1}{107.66312}$	$\frac{1}{107.68059}$
257	$\frac{1}{107.42122}$	$\frac{1}{107.06822}$	$\frac{1}{107.54748}$	$\frac{1}{107.63829}$	$\frac{1}{107.66162}$	$\frac{1}{107.68262}$
129	$\frac{1}{107.59886}$	$\frac{1}{107.44635}$	$\frac{1}{107.10759}$	$\frac{1}{107.56565}$	$\frac{1}{107.63960}$	$\frac{1}{107.65636}$

TABLE 3. The tau constants for normalized metrized graphs $TT(13,b,c)$, each of which has 24574 vertices.

small a values. Secondly, we add edges connecting the outer vertices. Suppose that we label the outer vertices as $\{v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}, \cdots, v_{k+3\cdot 2^{a-1}-1}, v_{k+3\cdot 2^{a-1}} = v_{3\cdot 2^a-2}\}$. Then for each odd integer i with $1 \le i \le 3\cdot 2^{a-1}$, we add an edge with the pair of end points (v_{k+i}, v_{k+i+b}), where $i+b$ is considered in mod $3\cdot 2^{a-1}$. Similarly, for each even integer j with $1 \le j \le 3\cdot 2^{a-1}$, we add an edge with the pair of end points $(v_{k+j}, v_{k+j+c-1})$, where $j+c-1$ is again considered in mod $3\cdot 2^{a-1}$.

Comparing the tau values in each Tables, we note that these are the smallest tau values: $\tau(\mathrm{H}^N(164,164)) = \frac{1}{107.77473}$ and $\mathrm{H}^N(164,164)$ has 54450 vertices, $\tau(MM(116,110)) = \frac{1}{107.75520}$ and $MM(116,110)$ has 51040 vertices, $\tau(TT(13,257,18)) = \frac{1}{107.68262}$ and $TT(13,257,18)$ has 24574 vertices, $\tau(TT(14,513,18)) = \frac{1}{107.80269}$ and $TT(14,513,18)$ has 49150 vertices.

Note that we have various graphs in the family $TT(14,b,c)$ having girth bigger than the graphs in the other families considered in this section. Thus, as suggested by Remark 3.1, we can expect to have metrized graphs in this family whose tau constants approaches to $\frac{1}{108}$ faster than the other ones.

$b\backslash c$	130	66	34	18
1025	$\frac{1}{107.75856}$	$\frac{1}{107.76736}$	$\frac{1}{107.78212}$	$\frac{1}{107.79897}$
513	$\frac{1}{107.74639}$	$\frac{1}{107.76764}$	$\frac{1}{107.78342}$	$\frac{1}{107.80269}$
257	$\frac{1}{107.67083}$	$\frac{1}{107.75703}$	$\frac{1}{107.77943}$	$\frac{1}{107.80147}$
129	$\frac{1}{107.23574}$	$\frac{1}{107.68350}$	$\frac{1}{107.75311}$	$\frac{1}{107.76898}$

TABLE 4. The tau constants for normalized metrized graphs $TT(14, b, c)$, each of which has 49150 vertices.

Computations listed in Tables (1), (2) and (3) are done by using Matlab [16]. Figures (1), (3), (4) are drawn in Mathematica [17].

We were able to compute the tau constant of metrized graphs having vertices more than $50,000$ and edges more than $75,000$. As such computations would be possible on a computer with high memory and processing speed, we used Mac Pro with processor 2×2.93 GHz 6-core Intel Xeon (24 hyper-threading in total) and memory 24 GB 1333 MHz DDR3 to obtain these results.

Acknowledgements: This work is supported by The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey-TUBITAK (Project No: 110T686).

REFERENCES

[1] R.B. Bapat, Resistance matrix of a weighted graph, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 50 (2004), 73–82.
[2] R.B. Bapat, Resistance distance in graphs, *The Mathematics Student*, 68 (1999), 87–98.
[3] M. Baker and X. Faber, Metrized graphs, Laplacian operators, and electrical networks, *Contemporary Mathematics 415*, Proceedings of the Joint Summer Research Conference on Quantum Graphs and Their Applications; Berkolaiko, G; Carlson, R; Fulling, S. A.; Kuchment, P. Snowbird, Utah, 2006, pp. 15–33.
[4] M. Baker and R. Rumely, Harmonic analysis on metrized graphs, *Canadian J. Math*: 59, (2007) No. 2, 225–275.
[5] B. C. Berndt and B. P. Yeap, Explicit Evaluations and Reciprocity Theorems for Finite Trigonometric Sums, *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, Volume: 29, Issue: 3, (2002), 358–385.
[6] Z. Cinkir, *The Tau Constant of Metrized Graphs*, Thesis at University of Georgia, (2007).
[7] Z. Cinkir, The tau constant of a metrized graph and its behavior under graph operations, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, Volume 18 (1) (2011) P81.
[8] Z. Cinkir, The tau constant and the discrete Laplacian matrix of a metrized graph, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, Volume 32, Issue 4, (2011), 639–655.
[9] Z. Cinkir, The tau constant and the edge connectivity of a metrized graph, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, Volume 19, Issue 4, (2012) P46.
[10] Z. Cinkir, Zhang's Conjecture and the Effective Bogomolov Conjecture over function fields, *Inventiones Mathematicae*, Volume 183, Number 3, (2011) 517–562.
[11] Z. Cinkir, Generalized Foster's identities, *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry*, Volume 111, Issue 10, (2011), 2228-2233.
[12] T. Chinburg and R. Rumely, The capacity pairing, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 434 (1993), 1–44.
[13] P. E. John and H. Sachs, Spectra of Toroidal Graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, 309, (2009), 2663–2681.
[14] I. M. Gessel, Generating Functions and Generalized Dedekind Sums, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, Volume 4, Issue 2, (1997) R11.
[15] D. J. Klein and M. Randić, Resistance distance, *Journal Mathematical Chemistry*, 12 (1993) 81–95.
[16] MATLAB, Release 2013b, The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, United States.
[17] Wolfram Research, Inc., *Mathematica*, Version 9.0, Wolfram Research Inc., Champaign, IL (2012).
[18] A. P. Prudnikov, Y. A. Brychkov and O. I. Marickev, *Integral and Series, Volume 1: Elementary Functions*, Gordon & Breach, New York, (1998).

[19] R. Rumely, *Capacity Theory on Algebraic Curves*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1378, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, (1989).
[20] R. Shrock and F. Y. Wu, Spanning Trees on Graphs and Lattices d Dimensions, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, Volume 33, (2000), 3881–3902.
[21] F. Y. Wu, Number of Spanning Trees on a Lattice, Letter to the Editor, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, Volume 10, No 6, (1977), L113–L115.
[22] L. Ye, On the Kirchhoff Index of Some Toroidal Lattices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, Volume 59, No. 6, (2011), 645–650.
[23] W. Yan and Z. Zhang, Asymptotic Energy of Lattices, *Physica A*, 388 (2009), 1463–1471.
[24] S. Zhang, Admissible pairing on a curve, *Invent. Math.* 112 (1993), 171–193.
[25] S. Zhang, Gross–Schoen cycles and dualising sheaves, *Invent. Math.* 179 (2010), 1–73

Zubeyir Cinkir, Zirve University, Faculty of Education, Department of Mathematics, Gaziantep, TURKEY.
E-mail address: zubeyirc@gmail.com